

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/707,909  | 01/23/2004      | Donald H. Wiseman    | PIL8015.011         | 1908            |  |
| 26629   | 7590 08/25/2004 |                      | EXAMINER            |                 |  |
| ZIOLKOWSKI PATENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, LLC (ZPS) 14135 NORTH CEDARBURG ROAD |                 |                      | KUNEMUND, ROBERT M  |                 |  |
| MEQUON,   |                 | ,                    | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |  |
| ,   |                 |                      | 1765                | <u> </u>        |  |

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   | $-\mathcal{A}$ |
|--|--|---|--|----------------|
|  |  | 10/707,909  | WISEMAN, DONALD H.   | . /            |
| Office Action Summary  |  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |                |
|  |  | Robert M Kunemund   | 1765   |                |
| TI<br>Period for R   | he MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>eply  | pears on the cover sheet w  | rith the correspondence address  |                |
| I HE MAI - Extensions after SIX (i - If the perio - If NO perio - Failure to Any reply i | TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. so of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. do for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply od for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period very reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a<br>y within the statutory minimum of thi<br>vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI | reply be timely filed  rly (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communic | ation.         |
| Status   |  |   |  |                |
| 1)□ Res  | sponsive to communication(s) filed on  |   |  |                |
|  |  | action is non-final.  |  |                |
| 3)∐ Sin  | ce this application is in condition for allowar  |   | ters, prosecution as to the merit  | s is           |
|  | sed in accordance with the practice under E  |   |  | 510            |
| Disposition of   |  |   | ,  |                |
| 4)⊠ Cla  | im(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |  |                |
|  | Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  |   |  |                |
|  | im(s) is/are allowed.  | m nom consideration.  |  |                |
|  | im(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.   |   |  |                |
|  | im(s) is/are objected to.  |   |  |                |
|  | im(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.   |  |                |
| Application F  |  | 4   |  |                |
|  | specification is objected to by the Examiner   | _   |  |                |
|  | drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce  |   | butha E. a   |                |
|  | licant may not request that any objection to the c   |   |  |                |
|  |  |   |  |                |
| 11) ☐ The  | lacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | on is required if the drawing   | (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12  | 1(d).          |
|  |  | animer. Note the attachet   | JOHICE ACTION OF TOMIN PTO-152   | •              |
| Priority unde  | r 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |                |
| 12)∏ Ackr  | nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | priority under 35 U.S.C. §  | 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |                |
| a)∏ Al   | ll b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |   |  |                |
| 1.   | ] Certified copies of the priority documents   | have been received.   |  |                |
| 2.   |  |   |  |                |
| 3.   | - I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I  | ty documents have been  | received in this National Stage  |                |
|  | application from the International Bureau  |   |  |                |
| * See ti   | he attached detailed Office action for a list o  | of the certified copies not   | received.  |                |
|  |  |   |  |                |
| Attachment(s)  |  |   |  |                |
| 1) Notice of R   | eferences Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview S  | ummary (PTO-413)   |                |
| 3) Information   | raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  | 5) 🔲 Notice of In   | )/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |                |
|  | )/Mail Date  | 6)  | <u>-</u>   |                |
| .S. Patent and Trademar<br>PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-0  |  | ion Summary   | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040  | 1820           |

Application/Control Number: 10/707,909

Art Unit: 1765

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 9 to 11, 16 to 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haldeman (5,461,215).

The Haldeman reference teaches an induction-heating coil in a crystal growth apparatus. The crystal growth apparatus has a means to receive a material for growth, which is to be heated. The heating means is an induction coil, note col. 1 lines 1-20. The induction means is a Litz coil, note col. 3 lines 2-55. The coils are cooled by water, which is allowed to flow through the Litz coil, note, and cite supra. The coils are in casings, which has two separate ends. The coils are insulted for each other note col. 4

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 5, 7, 8, 12 to 15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haldeman.

The Haldeman reference is relied on for the same reasons as stated, supra, and differs from the instant claims in the construction of the coil. However, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine the optimum, operable means of construction, such as controllers,

Application/Control Number: 10/707,909

Art Unit: 1765

encasements, tie downs in the Haldeman reference in order to protect the coils during growth and secure the coils so that the coils do not cause vibrations during the growth which would ruin the crystals.

Claims 4 and 21-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haldeman.

The Haldeman reference is relied on for the same reasons as stated, supra, and differs from the instant claims in the method of growth. However, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine the optimum, operable means of growth which best use the Litz coils in the Haldeman reference in order to decrease energy use in the crystal growing methods. Further, the efficiency of the coils would inherently be similar as there is seen no difference between the Litz coils of the claims and the prior art.

## Examiners' Remarks

The remaining references are merely cited of interest as showing the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M Kunemund whose telephone number is 571-272-1464. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571-272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,909

Art Unit: 1765

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RMK

ROBERT KUNEMUND PRIMARY EXAMINER